PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORIT		PCT	
AXIS INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL PTE LTD 21A Duxton Road Singapore 089487 SINGAPOUR		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24/05/2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference WIL-P001W0		REPLY DUE within 2 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing		
nternational application No.	International filing date	(day month year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/SG03/00217	12/09/2003		21/10/2002	
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) of	r both national classificati	on and IPC		
	H04L29/06			
Applicant				
WIRELESS INTELLECT LAI	BS PIE LID et al	•		
VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the inter VIII Certain observations on th The applicant is hereby invited to reply	Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega supporting such statemen mational application to this opinion.	rd to novelty, inventi t	industrial applicability ve step or industrial applicability; of that time limit, request this Authority	
How? By submitting a written reply For the form and the language Also For an additional opportunity For the examiner's obligation For an informal communication.	7, accompanied, where appeared of the amendments, see y to submit amendments, n to consider amendments	Rules 66.8 and 66.9. see Rule 66.4. and/or arguments, se		
	-1::	oort will be established	d on the basis of this opinion.	
If no reply is filed, the international pr	•	•		
If no reply is filed, the international pr The final date by which the internations examination report must be established	al preliminary	21/0	2/2005 sisches Patenta	Mr.
. The final date by which the internations	al preliminary	21/0	ne limits)	<u>™r.</u>

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/SG03/00217

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.